



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/526,376	03/03/2005	Yoshio Nakano	266108US3PCT	3840

22850	7590	12/31/2009
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, L.L.P.		
1940 DUKE STREET		
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314		

EXAMINER	
WOOD, ELLEN S	

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1794	

NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
12/31/2009	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com
oblonpat@oblon.com
jgardner@oblon.com

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/526,376	Applicant(s) NAKANO ET AL.	
	Examiner ELLEN S. WOOD	Art Unit 1794	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 08 September 2009.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 9,11-13,21 and 25-28 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 9,11-13,21 and 25-28 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|---|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claims 9, 11, 12, 13, 21, 25, 26, 27 and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yukinobu et al. (JP 2001-182872, hereinafter "Yukinobu") in view of Besche et al. (US 6,761,188, hereinafter "Besche") in further view of Tamura et al. (US 4,973,440, hereinafter "Tamura").

In regards to claim 9, Yukinobu discloses a hose for transportation which uses carbon dioxide as a refrigerant [0001]. The tube is formed from a metal accordion tube that is of a bellows shape [0010]. The tube has troughs and ridges (drawings 1 and 2). A wrap reinforcement layer (fiber braid reinforcement) (3) covers the bellows [0013 and drawings 1-2]. A wrap elastic layer (buffer material) (2) [0011] was extruded over the tube so that the dent part (troughs) of bellows were filled thoroughly [0016 and drawings 1-2]. The cross section of the bellows has a sequence of U-shapes (drawings 1 and 2). The wrap elastic layer is formed from rubber or thermoplastics, such as nitril butadiene rubber, chloroprene rubber, isobutylene isoprene rubber, acrylic rubber, and ethylene acrylic rubber [0011]. Yukinobu discloses that the wrap reinforcement layer is formed from PET fibers (polyester), nylon fibers, aramide fibers, carbon fibers, etc. [0013].

Art Unit: 1794

In regards to claims 12, Yukinobu discloses that the reinforcement layer may be surrounded by an external layer of the same materials of the elastic layer [0014]. The additional unvulcanized rubber layer is twisted around outer layer of this reinforcement [0017], thus impregnated.

In regards to claims 21, 25 and 27, Yukinobu discloses that the tube is disposed in piping for a carbon dioxide refrigerant system [0001].

Yukinobu is silent with regards to the braided angle of the reinforcement covering, the buffer material covering a height that is 0.5 to 2.0 times the height of the ridges and the additional layer of fiber braid reinforcement.

Besche discloses a flexible hose line (col. 1 lines 6-8). The inner layer can also be a corrugated tube (col. 1 lines 58-62). A compensation zone (buffer material) that is located between the inner layer and the reinforcing layer (col. 1 lines 33-37) is formed from an elastomer, a thermoplastic elastomer, or a thermoplastic (col. 2 lines 18-21). The hose is reinforced by a layer that is designed to absorb tensile forces acting in the longitudinal direction of the hose (col. 1 lines 28-33). The reinforcing layer is formed from threads or thread groups that are oriented in the axial direction, they can be loaded in the longitudinal direction of the hose and they run at an angle of preferably 35° to 45° (col. 3 lines 5-9).

It would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have combined the braiding angle of Besche with the wrap fiber layer of Yukinobu, because the braiding angle provides proper orientation of the reinforcement layer, thus maintaining the absorption of the tensile forces acting on the hose.

It would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have used a buffer material that covers the outer face from the bottom of the troughs to a height that is 0.5 to 2.0 times the height of the ridges in the combination of Yukinobu and Besche, to insure flexibility of the accordion tube and that the internal pressure applied to a metal layer is disturbed equally [Yokinobu 0009].

Yukinobu and Besche both disclose an additional layer of elastomeric covering on the outer side of the reinforcing layer. It would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use an additional fiber reinforcing material to provide additional stability and strength to the hose.

The combination of Yukinobu and Besche has been discussed previously. The combination of Yukinobu and Besche is silent with regards to the fiber braid reinforcement being impregnated with a curable resin such as those listed in claim 11.

Tamura discloses reinforcing fibers that are inorganic fibers such as carbon fibers or glass fibers or organic fibers such as vinylon fibers or alamides fibers (col. 5 lines 13-17). The vinyl ester resin impregnated into the fibers is derived from epoxy resin and vinyl ester resin (col. 5 lines 2-12).

It would be obvious to one of ordinary skill to combine the impregnated reinforcing fibers of Tamura with the reinforcing layer of the combination of Yukinobu and Besche, because the thermosetting resin composition of the impregnated reinforcing fibers provides a fiber-reinforced molding material that has uniformly dispersed fibers in an unbroken state that provides outstanding impact strength and stability (col. 9 lines 7-28).

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed 09/08/2009 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

4. The applicant argues that Yukinobu does not teach or suggest that the gaps in the fiber are impregnated with a curable resin or rubber composition.

In response, Tamura was disclosed in the previous office action dated 06/10/2009 disclosing reinforcing fibers that are inorganic fibers such as carbon fibers or glass fibers or organic fibers such as vinylon fibers or alamides fibers (col. 5 lines 13-17). The vinyl ester resin impregnated into the fibers are derived from epoxy resin and vinyl ester resin (col. 5 lines 2-12). The motivation to substitute the impregnated reinforcing fibers of Tamura reference with the reinforcing layer of the combination of Yukinobu and Besche is that the thermosetting resin composition of the impregnated reinforcing fibers provides a fiber-reinforced molding material that has uniformly dispersed fibers in an unbroken state that provides outstanding impact strength and stability (Tamura col. 9 lines 7-28).

In response to Applicant's arguments against the references individually, one cannot show nonobviousness by attacking references individually where the rejections are based on combinations of references. See *In re Keller*, 642 F.2d 413, 208 USPQ 871 (CCPA 1981); *In re Merck & Co.*, 800 F.2d 1091, 231 USPQ 375 (Fed. Cir. 1986).

It is noted that "obviousness under 103 is not negated because the motivation to arrive at the claimed invention as disclosed by the prior art does not agree with appellant's motivation", *In re Dillon*, 16 USPQ2d 1897 (Fed. Cir. 1990), *In re Tomlinson*, 150 USPQ 623 (CCPA 1966). Therefore, the obviousness rejection under 103 with reference to Tamura cannot be negated, because Tamura's use for hot pressing injecting is only required for the strength resin mold itself.

5. The applicant argues that one of ordinary skill in the art would not have been motivated to combine the teachings of Tamura, Yoshiyuki and Besche.

In response, the applicant has not provided reasons as to why Tamura, Yoshiyuki and Besche cannot be combine. The applicant has discussed the references individually but not as a combined invention.

6. Claims 1-8, 10, 14-20, and 22-24 have been canceled.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to ELLEN S. WOOD whose telephone number is (571)270-3450. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 730-5 with every other Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rena Dye can be reached on (571)272-3186. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1794

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Rena L. Dye/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1794